

Limiting amplitude principle for a two-layered medium composed of a dielectric material and a metamaterial

Maxence Cassier^{2,1,*}, Christophe Hazard², Patrick Joly²

¹Department of mathematics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, United States

²POEMS, CNRS-ENSTA-INRIA, Palaiseau, France

*Email: cassier@math.utah.edu

Abstract

For wave propagation phenomena, the limiting amplitude principle (LAP) holds if the time-harmonic regime represents the large time asymptotic behavior of the solution of the evolution problem with a time-harmonic excitation. Considering a two-layered medium composed of a dielectric material and a Drude metamaterial separated by a plane interface, we prove that the LAP holds except for a critical situation related to a surface resonance phenomenon.

Keywords: Maxwell's equations, metamaterials, spectral theory.

1 Introduction

In the frequency domain, the permittivity and permeability of a non-dissipative dispersive material $\varepsilon(\omega)$ and $\mu(\omega)$ are real-valued functions of the frequency ω . For metamaterials, these coefficients may become negative in particular frequency ranges, which raises theoretical and numerical difficulties. In [1], the authors proved that for a transmission problem between a dielectric material and a metamaterial separated by a smooth interface, the time-harmonic problem is well-posed except when both ratios of ε and μ across the interface are equal to -1 (which is the case of the “perfect lens” [3]). Nevertheless, the associated time-dependent problem remains well-posed. What is the link between both problems, in particular when the harmonic problem is ill-posed? We answer here the question in the case of a planar transmission problem which involves a Drude metamaterial.

2 Formulation of the problem

We consider a two-layered medium composed of a standard dielectric material and a Drude material, both homogeneous and non-dissipative, which fill respectively the half planes $\mathbb{R}_-^3 = \{\mathbf{x} =$

$(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x < 0\}$ and $\mathbb{R}_+^3 = \{\mathbf{x} = (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x > 0\}$. $(\mathbf{e}_x, \mathbf{e}_y, \mathbf{e}_z)$ will refer to the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^3 . We denote by \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{H} the electric and magnetic fields and by \mathbf{D} and \mathbf{B} the electric and magnetic inductions. In the presence of a source current density \mathbf{J}_s , the evolution of $(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{B})$ is governed by Maxwell's equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \mathbf{D} - \mathbf{Curl} \mathbf{H} &= -\mathbf{J}_s \\ \partial_t \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{Curl} \mathbf{E} &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

(where the usual transmission conditions at the interface $x = 0$ are implicitly understood). These equations must be supplemented by the constitutive laws of each material. In the dielectric material, they are simply expressed by

$$\mathbf{D} = \varepsilon_0 \mathbf{E} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \mathbf{H},$$

for two positive constants ε_0 and μ_0 . In a dispersive media, these laws involve two additional unknowns, the electric and magnetic polarizations \mathbf{P} and \mathbf{M} :

$$\mathbf{D} = \varepsilon_0 \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{P} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \mathbf{H} + \mathbf{M}.$$

For the Drude model, the fields \mathbf{P} and \mathbf{M} are related to \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{H} through

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \mathbf{P} &= \mathbf{J} \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_t \mathbf{J} = \varepsilon_0 \Omega_e^2 \mathbf{E} \\ \partial_t \mathbf{M} &= \mathbf{K} \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_t \mathbf{K} = \mu_0 \Omega_m^2 \mathbf{H},\end{aligned}$$

where Ω_e and Ω_m are positive parameters. By eliminating \mathbf{D} , \mathbf{B} , \mathbf{P} and \mathbf{M} in the above equations, we obtain

$$(P) \quad \begin{cases} \varepsilon_0 \partial_t \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{Curl} \mathbf{H} + \mathbf{\Pi} \mathbf{J} = -\mathbf{J}_s & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \mu_0 \partial_t \mathbf{H} + \mathbf{Curl} \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{\Pi} \mathbf{K} = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \partial_t \mathbf{J} = \varepsilon_0 \Omega_e^2 \mathbf{E} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^3, \\ \partial_t \mathbf{K} = \mu_0 \Omega_m^2 \mathbf{H} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^3, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbf{\Pi}$ denotes the operator of extension by 0 of a vectorial field defined on \mathbb{R}_+^3 to \mathbb{R}^3 .

When looking for time-harmonic solutions of (P): $(\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{x}), \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}), \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{x}), \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{x})) e^{-i\omega t}$ for a periodic current density $\mathcal{J}_s(\mathbf{x}) e^{-i\omega t}$, we can eliminate $\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{x})$. In the half-plane \mathbb{R}_+^3 filled by the Drude material, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} i\omega\varepsilon(\omega)\mathcal{E} + \mathbf{Curl}\mathcal{H} &= \mathcal{J}_s \\ -i\omega\mu(\omega)\mathcal{H} + \mathbf{Curl}\mathcal{E} &= 0, \text{ where} \end{aligned}$$

$$\varepsilon(\omega) = \varepsilon_0 \left(1 - \frac{\Omega_e^2}{\omega^2}\right) \text{ and } \mu(\omega) = \mu_0 \left(1 - \frac{\Omega_m^2}{\omega^2}\right).$$

In the half-plane \mathbb{R}_-^3 filled by the dielectric material, we obtain the same equations with $\varepsilon(\omega)$ and $\mu(\omega)$ replaced by ε_0 and μ_0 . Note that in the Drude material, $\varepsilon(\omega)$ and $\mu(\omega)$ become negative at low frequencies (which justifies the word “metamaterial”). Moreover, both ratios $\varepsilon(\omega)/\varepsilon_0$ and $\mu(\omega)/\mu_0$ are simultaneously equal to -1 at the same frequency if and only if $\Omega_e = \Omega_m$ ($:= \Omega^*$) and $\omega = \pm\Omega^*/\sqrt{2}$ ($:= \pm\omega^*$), where ω_* is called the *plasmonic frequency*.

3 Main results

We are interested in the long-time behavior of the solution of the transverse magnetic (TM) version of (P) for a time-harmonic source term $J_s(\mathbf{x}, t) = \mathcal{J}_s(x, y) e^{-i\omega t} \mathbf{e}_z$ with $\omega > 0$ and zero initial conditions. In this case, we have $\mathbf{E} = (0, 0, E_z)$ and $\mathbf{H} = (H_x, H_y, 0)$ where E_z , H_x and H_y do not depend on z , as well as the same properties for \mathbf{J} and \mathbf{K} . We express below our main result in terms of the electrical field E_z but the same results hold for the other unknowns H_x, H_y, J_z, K_x, K_y .

Theorem 1 (i) *If $\Omega_e \neq \Omega_m$, the LAP holds at all frequencies, in the sense that for all $\omega > 0$, there exists a function \mathcal{E}_z (related to the time-harmonic problem) such that*

$$E_z(\cdot, t) = \mathcal{E}_z(\cdot) e^{-i\omega t} + o(1) \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty,$$

where $o(1)$ stands for a function which tends to 0 in $L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

(ii) *If $\Omega_e = \Omega_m$, the LAP never holds. More precisely, with the same notations as above,*

• *if $\omega \neq \omega_*$, then there exists functions $\mathcal{E}_{z,\pm}^*$ and \mathcal{E}_z such that*

$$E_z(\cdot, t) = \sum_{\pm} \mathcal{E}_{z,\pm}^*(\cdot) e^{\mp i\omega_* t} + \mathcal{E}_z(\cdot) e^{-i\omega t} + o(1);$$

• *If $\omega = \omega_*$, then there exists functions \mathcal{E}_z^* and \mathcal{E}_z such that*

$$E_z(\cdot, t) = t \mathcal{E}_z^*(\cdot) e^{-i\omega_* t} + \mathcal{E}_z(\cdot) e^{-i\omega_* t} + o(1).$$

4 Method of Analysis

The (very technical) proof follows from standard arguments (see, e.g., [4]). The main difficulty here is related to the dependence of $\varepsilon(\omega)$ and $\mu(\omega)$ with respect to ω (see [2] for details). We first rewrite the original problem (P) as an abstract Schrödinger equation

$$\frac{d\mathbf{U}}{dt} + i\mathbb{A}\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{F} e^{-i\omega t} \text{ with } \mathbf{U}(0) = 0,$$

where \mathbb{A} is an unbounded self-adjoint operator in an appropriate Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . The key of the analysis is the spectral theory of the operator \mathbb{A} . This permits a quasi-explicit representation of the solution via the (generalized) diagonalization of \mathbb{A} . This is achieved by combining a partial Fourier transform along the interface with Sturm-Liouville type techniques in the orthogonal direction. For $\Omega_e = \Omega_m$, the resonance phenomenon is linked to the fact that \mathbb{A} admits at the plasmonic frequency ω_* an eigenvalue of infinite multiplicity.

References

- [1] A.-S. Bonnet-Bendhia and L. Chesnel and P. Ciarlet Jr, T-coercivity for the Maxwell problem with sign-changing coefficients, *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 39 (2014), 1007–1031.
- [2] M. Cassier, Étude de deux problèmes de propagation d’ondes transitoires: (1) Focalisation spatio-temporelle en acoustique; (2) Transmission entre un diélectrique et un métamatériau (French) (Ph.D. thesis), Ecole Polytechnique, 2014, available online at <https://pastel.archives-ouvertes.fr/pastel-01023289>.
- [3] J. B. Pendry, Negative refraction makes a perfect lens, *Physical review letters* 85(18) (2000), 3966.
- [4] R. Weder, Spectral and scattering theory for wave propagation in perturbed stratified media, *Applied mathematical sciences* 50, Springer-Verlag New York, 1991.